

b-stability and blow-ups

S. K. Donaldson

July 11, 2011

Dedicated to Professor V. V. Shokurov

1 Introduction

In [3] the author introduced a notion of “b-stability”. This is a variant of more standard notions of stability, designed to get around certain difficulties in the proof of the well-known conjectures relating stability to the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics (in the case of Fano manifolds). The purpose of this article is to make progress towards a proof that a manifold which admits a Kähler-Einstein metric is b-stable. The method is a variant of the one introduced by Stoppa [6], [7].

Let X be a compact complex manifold and $L \rightarrow X$ be a positive line bundle. The definition of b-stability begins by considering a power s such that sections of L^s give a projective embedding $X \subset \mathbf{P}$ and degenerations $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Delta$ with $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbf{P} \times \Delta$ such that $\pi^{-1}(t) \cong X$ for $t \neq 0$ but with $W = \pi^{-1}(0)$ not isomorphic to X . One then goes on to consider powers sp and certain birational modifications of \mathcal{X} . The main restriction we make in the present paper is to confine our attention throughout to *equivariant* degenerations or “test configurations”. Thus we have maps $g_t : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ for $t \neq 0$ with $\pi(g_t(x)) = t\pi(x)$. For our purposes we can also fix $s = 1$. So we now consider a family $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbf{P} \times \mathbf{C}$ invariant under a \mathbf{C}^* action defined by the standard action on \mathbf{C} , and a linear action on \mathbf{P} . We make the following assumptions

1. $H^0(X, L)$ generates the ring $\bigoplus_k H^0(X, L^k)$.
2. $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a flat family, $\pi^{-1}(1) \cong X$ and $X \subset \mathbf{P}$ is the embedding defined by the complete linear system $|L|$.
3. $\pi^{-1}(0) = W$ is reduced and contains a preferred component B . We write $W = B \cup R$ where R is a union of one or more other components and set $D = B \cap R$.
4. B does not lie in any proper linear subspace in \mathbf{P} .

5. For each k the power \mathcal{I}_B^k of the ideal sheaf of B in \mathcal{X} coincides with the sheaf of functions which vanish to order k on B , in the sense of the valuation defined by B .

Here the conditions (1)(2) are rather standard. Condition (4) will always hold in the applications such as described in [3]. The assumption in condition (3) that W is reduced can probably be relaxed. The last condition (5) is of a more technical nature. It is used to give a simple proof of the Lemma 4 below but requires clarification, see the discussion in Section 3. Notice that this condition (6) will hold if B is a Cartier divisor in \mathcal{X} , but that assumption would rule out many cases of interest (see the examples in Section 3 below).

We now recall the basic construction of [3] in this situation. Following a suggestion of Richard Thomas, we can present this construction in a slightly different (and probably more familiar) way than in [3]. Let $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and for $\mu > 0$ let $\Lambda^{(\mu)}$ be the sheaf of meromorphic functions with at worst poles of order μ on R . So if R is a Cartier divisor $\Lambda^{(\mu)}$ is just the sheaf of sections of the line bundle defined by R , raised to the power μ . For each positive integer p we consider the inclusions

$$i_{p,\mu} : H^0(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{L}^p \otimes \Lambda^{(\mu-1)}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}; \mathcal{L}^p \otimes \Lambda^{(\mu)})$$

and define $m(p)$ to be the largest value of μ such that $i_{p,\mu}$ is not an isomorphism. Now consider the sheaf $\mathcal{L}'_p = \mathcal{L}^p \otimes \Lambda^{(m(p))}$ over \mathcal{X} . The sections of this define a rational map from \mathcal{X} to $\mathbf{P}_p \times \mathbf{C}$, for a projective space $\mathbf{P}_p = \mathbf{P}(U_p)$ and the image of this gives another flat family \mathcal{X}'_p . This is essentially a restatement of the construction described in [3], as we will explain in Section 2 below. The upshot is that we get a new degeneration $\pi^{(p)} : \mathcal{X}'_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, embedded in $\mathbf{P}_p \times \mathbf{C}$ which is equivariant with respect to a \mathbf{C}^* -action defined by a generator $A'_p \in \text{End}(U_p)$. In particular this gives a \mathbf{C}^* -action on the central fibre $W'_p \subset \mathcal{X}'_p$. (Note that strictly we should allow \mathcal{X}'_p and W'_p to be schemes, but this aspect will not really enter the discussion.)

We now come to another central topic in this paper: the *Chow invariant* of a projective variety with \mathbf{C}^* -action. In general suppose we have an n -dimensional variety $V \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{C}^N)$ preserved by a \mathbf{C}^* -action with generator α . Let α_k be the generator of the induced action on $H^0(V; \mathcal{O}(k))$. Then, for large k , the trace $\text{Tr}(\alpha_k)$ is given by a Hilbert polynomial of degree $n+1$ and we define $I(V)$ to be the leading term

$$I(V) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-(n+1)} \text{Tr}(\alpha_k). \quad (1)$$

Write Vol for the degree (or volume) of V . We define the Chow invariant of V to be

$$\text{Ch}(V) = \frac{\text{Tr}(\alpha)}{N} - \frac{I(V)}{\text{Vol}}. \quad (2)$$

(Of course this depends on the given action, although we omit it from the notation.) We recall that a variety $Z \subset \mathbf{P}^{N-1}$ is defined to be *Chow stable* if for

all non-trivial flat equivariant degenerations of Z contained in $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{P}^{N-1}$ the Chow invariant of the central fibre is strictly positive.

We introduce some new definitions. Let q be a point in X and \hat{X}_q be the blow up of X at q with exceptional divisor $E \subset \hat{X}_q$ and corresponding line bundle L_E . Let γ, r be positive integers. We say that (X, L) is (γ, r) -stable if for all points $q \in X$ the line bundle $L^{r\gamma} \otimes L_E^{-r}$ on the blow-up is very ample and if the corresponding projective embedding of \hat{X}_q is Chow stable. We say that (X, L) is *bi-asymptotically stable* if there is a γ_0 and for each $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ an $r_0(\gamma)$ such that (X, L) is (γ, r) -stable for $r \geq r_0(\gamma)$. (In [3] we discussed a very similar notion of “ \overline{K} -stability”, but on reflection the definition above seems to be the more relevant one.)

The point of this definition is that we have

Theorem 1 *If the automorphism group of (X, L) is finite and if X admits a constant scalar curvature Kähler metric in the class $c_1(L)$ then (X, L) is bi-asymptotically stable.*

This is a standard result now, but we review the proof.

- According to Arrezo and Pacard [1], if γ is sufficiently large then for all $r > 0$ there is a constant scalar curvature metric on the blow-up in the class $c_1(L^{r\gamma} \otimes L_E^{-r})$.
- Fix γ and make r large enough that $L^{r\gamma} \otimes L_E^{-r}$ is very ample. By the main result of [2], once r is large enough, the image in projective space is “balanced” with respect to a suitable metric on the underlying vector space.
- By the standard Kemp-Ness theory and the elucidation by Phong and Sturm [5] (see also the related results of Luo [4] and Zhang [8]) of the constructions of [2], the balanced condition implies Chow stability.

We have all the background in place to state the main result of this paper. Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}'_p be degenerations of X , as considered above, with a \mathbf{C}^* -action on the central fibre $W'_p \subset \mathcal{X}'_p$ generated by A'_p . Let $N(A'_p)$ be the difference between the maximum and minimum eigenvalues of A'_p .

Theorem 2 *If (X, L) is bi-asymptotically stable and \mathcal{X} satisfies the hypotheses above then there is a constant $K > 0$ such that for infinitely many p we have*

$$\text{Ch}(W'_p) \geq Kp^{-1}N(A'_p).$$

The point of this result is that the b -stability of (X, L) requires that an inequality of this kind holds, and in fact the definition of b -stability is precisely that similar inequalities hold for a more general class of degenerations of X . Thus the theorem can be seen as a step towards the proof that the existence of a constant scalar curvature metric implies b -stability. Said in another way, the theorem can be seen as a new obstruction to the existence of constant scalar curvature

metrics. Suppose, say, we have an \mathcal{X} as above such that $p \operatorname{Ch}(W'_p)/N(A'_p) \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Then if the automorphism group of (X, L) is finite we deduce that X does not have a constant scalar curvature metric in the class $c_1(L)$.

We close this Introduction by repeating the algebro-geometric question raised in [3]—regarding the relevance of the notion of b-stability. It might happen that for some p the component R is contracted by the birational map and W'_p is irreducible. In that case, taking the higher power of the line bundle and replacing W by W'_p , one can avoid discussing the birational modifications further and there should be implications for the understanding of Gromov-Hausdorff limits of Kähler-Einstein metrics. So we ask

Question 1 *Is there an example of an equivariant degeneration \mathcal{X} as above, with X Fano and $L = K_X^{-m}$ such that R is not contracted in any \mathcal{X}'_p ? Conversely, are there some hypotheses on X which imply that R always will be contracted, for some p ?*

See the further discussion in Section 3 below.

The author is grateful to Richard Thomas for many very helpful discussions.

2 The set-up

Consider an equivariant flat family $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{X}, \pi)$, with \mathbf{C}^* -action, as in the previous section, and the inclusion maps $i_{p,\mu}$.

Lemma 1 *If $i_{p,\mu}$ is not surjective then $\mu \leq Cp$ where $C = \operatorname{degree}(D)/\operatorname{degree}(R)$.*

By assumption there is a meromorphic section s' of \mathcal{L}^p with a pole of order exactly μ along R . Thus $s = t^\mu s'$ is holomorphic, the restriction of s to R is not zero and s vanishes to order μ on B . So the restriction of s to R is a non-zero section of $\mathcal{O}(p)$ vanishing to order at least μ on D and the assertion follows from basic facts about the degree.

(Note that here, and in what follows, we use the standard convention that t is the function on \mathcal{X} which is, logically speaking, the same as π .)

This lemma means that $m(p)$ is well-defined and in fact $m(p) \leq Cp$. Now fix $m = m(p)$ and consider the sheaves $\pi_*(\mathcal{L}^p)$, $\pi_*(\mathcal{L}^p \otimes \Lambda^{(m)})$ over \mathbf{C} . They are torsion free and hence locally free.

Lemma 2 *There are sections σ_a , ($a = 1, \dots, N$) which form a basis for $\pi_*(\mathcal{L}^p)$ and positive integers $\mu_a \leq m$ such that $\bar{\sigma}_a = t^{-\mu_a} \sigma_a$ form a basis for $\pi_*(\mathcal{L}^p \otimes \Lambda^{(m)})$.*

This follows from basic facts about maps between modules over a PID, applied to the inclusion map $\pi_*(\mathcal{L}^p) \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{L}^p \otimes \Lambda^{(m)})$. The statement is also the content of Lemma 4 in [3]. It follows from the definitions that σ_a vanishes to order exactly μ_a along B and $\bar{\sigma}_a$ has a pole of order exactly μ_a on R .

Lemma 3 *We can choose σ_a to be eigenvectors for the induced \mathbf{C}^* -action on $\pi_*(\mathcal{L}^p)$.*

Following the development in [3], we start with a flag in $H^0(W; \mathcal{L}^p|_W)$ defined by the maximal order of vanishing on B of extensions over \mathcal{X} . This flag is clearly \mathbf{C}^* -invariant, so we can choose a compatible basis of eigenvectors in $H^0(W; \mathcal{L}^p|_W)$. Then any extension of one of these, vanishing to maximal order on B , gives a choice of σ_a . By a standard argument, averaging over the action of $S^1 \subset \mathbf{C}^*$, we can choose the extensions to be eigenvectors.

Now write λ_a for the weight of the \mathbf{C}^* -action on σ_a , so $g_t^*(\sigma_a) = t^{\lambda_a} \sigma_a$. The projective embedding of \mathcal{X} defined by the sections of the line bundle \mathcal{L}^p can be written down as follows. Let A_p be the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries λ_a and let $X_t = t^{A_p} X$ where X is embedded using the restrictions of the σ_a to $\pi^{-1}(1)$. Then \mathcal{X} is embedded in $\mathbf{P}^{N-1} \times \mathbf{C}$ as the closure of

$$\bigcup_{t \neq 0} (t, X_t).$$

This just expresses the definition, in terms of our basis σ_a . (It corresponds to the composite of the original embedding, defined by sections of $\mathcal{O}(1)$, and the Veronese embedding of degree p .) In just the same way the image of the rational map defined by the sections of $\mathcal{L}^p \otimes \Lambda^m$ is given by replacing X_t by $X'_t = t^{A'_p} X$ where A'_p is the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $\lambda_a - \mu_a$. This just expresses the definition, in terms of our basis $\bar{\sigma}_a$. On the other hand it clearly reproduces the definition in [3].

Notice that throughout the construction we can allow the special case when $m(p) = 0$. In that case we just take \mathcal{X}'_p to be \mathcal{X} , embedded by \mathcal{L}^p .

To clarify notation, let e_a be the standard basis of \mathbf{C}^N and e_a^* the dual basis. Thus the e_a^* are sections of $\mathcal{O}(p)$ and the e_a^* with $\mu_a > 0$ form a basis for the sections which vanish on B .

Let $\mathbf{P}_\infty \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be the projectivization of the vector subspace spanned by vectors e_a with $\mu_a > 0$.

Recall that W'_p is the central fibre of \mathcal{X}'_p , so there is a rational map $j_p : W \rightarrow W'_p$. By definition this is regular on the subset $\Omega = B \setminus D$ of W and defines a \mathbf{C}^* -equivariant open embedding of Ω in $\Omega'_p = W'_p \cap (\mathbf{P}^N \setminus \mathbf{P}_\infty)$. Let B'_p be the closure in W'_p of the image, so B'_p is an irreducible component of W'_p . Let R'_p be the union of all other irreducible components of W'_p .

We can study W'_p using “arcs” in \mathcal{X} . Let $\Gamma : \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be a holomorphic map from a disc with $\pi\Gamma(s) = 0$ if and only if $s = 0$. Then Γ induces a map $\tilde{\Gamma}$ from Δ to \mathcal{X}'_p and we can define an equivalence relation by $\Gamma_1 \sim_p \Gamma_2$ if $\tilde{\Gamma}_1(0) = \tilde{\Gamma}_2(0)$, so points of W'_p are equivalence classes of arcs. Fix a point $x \in W$ and a local trivialisation of \mathcal{L} around x . Consider an arc Γ with $\Gamma(0) = x$. With respect to this trivialisation the composites $\bar{\sigma}_a \circ \Gamma$ are meromorphic functions on the disc. Then Γ defines a point in $\Omega'_p = W'_p \cap (\mathbf{P}^N \setminus \mathbf{P}_\infty)$ if, for each a , the function $\bar{\sigma}_a \circ \Gamma$ is bounded, and two such paths Γ_1, Γ_2 define the same point in Ω'_p if $\bar{\sigma}_a \circ \Gamma_1(0) = \bar{\sigma}_a \circ \Gamma_2(0)$ for all a .

Lemma 4 *Suppose that the sections of $\mathcal{O}(p)$ over \mathcal{X} generate $\mathcal{I}_B(p)$, where \mathcal{I}_B is the ideal sheaf of B in \mathcal{X} and that x is a point in B . Let Γ_1, Γ_2 be arcs through x defining the same point of Ω'_p . Then for any holomorphic function τ defined on a neighbourhood of x and vanishing to order ν on B the composites $t^{-\nu}\tau \circ \Gamma_1, t^{-\nu}\tau \circ \Gamma_2$ are bounded and have the same limit at $s = 0$.*

First suppose that $\nu = 1$. The hypothesis that $\mathcal{O}(p)$ generates the ideal sheaf means that we can write $\tau = \sum_{\mu_a > 0} f_a \sigma_a$ where f_a are holomorphic. Thus

$$t^{-1}\tau = \sum_{\mu_a > 0} f_a(t^{\mu_a-1}\bar{\sigma}_a),$$

and

$$t^{-1}\tau \circ \Gamma_i = \sum_{\mu_a > 0} f_a(t^{\mu_a-1}\bar{\sigma}_a \circ \Gamma_i),$$

from which the statement is clear. Now suppose that $\nu > 1$. The global hypothesis (6) on B (from Section 1) asserts that τ is in \mathcal{I}_B^ν and so can be written as a sum of terms which are products of the form $\tau_1\tau_2\ldots\tau_\nu$ where τ_j vanishes on B . Then $t^{-\nu}\tau$ is a sum of products

$$(t^{-1}\tau_1)(t^{-1}\tau_2)\ldots(t^{-1}\tau_\nu),$$

and the statement follows from the first case, applied to the $t^{-1}\tau_i$.

Proposition 1 *1. If the sections of $\mathcal{O}(p)$ over \mathcal{X} generate $\mathcal{I}_B(p)$ then R'_p is contained in \mathbf{P}_∞ .*

2. If the sections of $\mathcal{O}(p)$ over \mathcal{X} generate $\mathcal{I}_B(p)$ for $p = p_1$ and $p = p_2$ then there is a \mathbf{C}^ -equivariant isomorphism from Ω'_{p_1} to Ω'_{p_2} , compatible with the open embeddings $j_{p_i} : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'_{p_i}$.*

(1) Suppose that Γ is an arc defining a point in W'_p and $x = \Gamma(0)$. If x does not lie in B there is (by the global generation hypothesis) a section σ_a with $\lambda_a > 0$ such that σ_a does not vanish at x . Then $\bar{\sigma}_a \circ \Gamma$ is unbounded and Γ defines a point in \mathbf{P}_∞ . So suppose that there is a component of R'_p which does not lie in \mathbf{P}_∞ . Choose a point z in $R'_p \cap (\mathbf{P}^N \setminus \mathbf{P}_\infty)$ which does not lie in the closure of B'_p and an arc Γ with $\Gamma(0) \in B$ representing z . There is a polynomial F which vanishes on B'_p but not at z . Then $\tau = F(\bar{\sigma}_a)$ is a meromorphic section which vanishes to some order $\nu > 0$ on B . The fact that F does not vanish at z means that $t^{-\nu}\tau \circ \Gamma$ is unbounded, contrary to Lemma 4.

(2) Suppose Γ_1 and Γ_2 define the same point in Ω'_{p_1} . By considering only the holomorphic sections $\bar{\sigma}_a$ (i.e. those with $\mu_a = 0$), we see that $\Gamma_1(0) = \Gamma_2(0)$. Working in a local trivialisation around this point the second statement of Lemma 4 shows that for any local section τ of a line bundle vanishing to order ν on B the composites $t^{-\nu}\tau \circ \Gamma_i$ are bounded and have the same limit. In particular this is true for the sections of \mathcal{L}^{p_2} . So we see that $\Gamma_1 \sim_{p_1} \Gamma_2$ implies

that $\Gamma_1 \sim_{p_2} \Gamma_2$. Reversing the roles of p_1, p_2 we see the converse and we get a bijection between Ω'_{p_1} and Ω'_{p_2} . It is clear from the construction that this is an equivariant isomorphism.

From now on we consider sufficiently large values of p , say $p \geq p_0$ so that the global generation hypothesis is satisfied.

Now we turn to consider the \mathbf{C}^* -actions on \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{X}'_p . There is no loss in supposing that the weights of the action on sections of $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over W run from 0 to $-M$ say, where $M > 0$. Then the weights λ_a of the action on sections of $\mathcal{O}(p)$ over W run from 0 to $-Mp$.

Lemma 5 *We can choose a basis σ_a as above and an equivariant lift $\iota : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of π with image $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{X}$ such that*

- $x_0 = \iota(0)$ is in B ;
- $\lambda_0 = 0$;
- σ_0 does not vanish anywhere on Σ but for $a > 0$ all σ_a vanish on Σ .

To see this, consider the restriction of the \mathbf{C}^* action to B . By assumption (5) B does not lie in a linear subspace and this means that there must be points of B represented by vectors of highest and lowest weight. The point relevant to us is a point of highest weight. We can obviously choose the basis so that this is $x_0 = [e_0^*]$. In dynamical language, this is a repulsive fixed point for the \mathbf{C}^* -action on the central fibre and there is a unique point x_1 in $X = \pi^{-1}(1)$ which flows to x in the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$, i.e. $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g_t(x_1) = x_0$. The \mathbf{C}^* -orbit of x_1 defines the lift ι .

Since σ_0 does not vanish at $s(0) \in B$ we must have $\mu_0 = 0$. For any $p > 0$ the lift ι induces a lift $\iota' : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_p$ with image Σ_p and $\iota'(0)$ is again a highest weight vector for the action on \mathcal{X}'_p . Since all the sections σ_a with $\mu_a > 0$ vanish on Σ this $\iota'(0)$ certainly does not lie in \mathbf{P}_∞ . Thus (at least when p is sufficiently large) the point $\iota'(0)$ lies in $\Omega_p \subset B'_p$. It is clear that if we have two values p_1, p_2 the isomorphism from Ω'_{p_1} to Ω'_{p_2} is compatible with these points and extends to an isomorphism between neighbourhoods U_{p_i} of the Σ_{p_i} in \mathcal{X}'_{p_i} .

3 Examples and discussion

Work in affine space \mathbf{C}^3 and consider the \mathbf{C}^* -action

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (t^{-\alpha}x, t^{-\beta}y, t^{-\gamma}z)$$

If $\alpha, \beta, \gamma > 0$ then the origin is a repulsive fixed point of the kind we are considering. Let Z be the affine variety given by the equation $xy = z$. Then the transform Z_t under the action is given by the equation $xy = t^{\gamma-\alpha-\beta}z$. If $\gamma > \alpha + \beta$ the limiting variety Z_0 is the union of two planes. Suppose for definiteness that $\gamma - \alpha - \beta = 1$. Let B be the plane $y = 0$, say. The total space

$\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbf{C}^4$ is the quadric cone with equation $xy = tz$. The lift Σ is just given by $x = y = z = 0$. The plane $B \subset \mathcal{Z}$ defined by $y = t = 0$ is not a Cartier divisor but one can check that it does satisfy our technical condition (6). This example does not quite fit our framework because B does lie in a proper linear subspace. But if take $\alpha = 2, \beta = 3, \gamma = 10$ and start instead with the smooth surface Z in \mathbf{C}^3 given by the equation $(x^3 - y^2)y = z$ the total space \mathcal{Z} has equation $(x^3 - y^2)y = tz$, the central fibre W is the union of B , defined by $x^2 - y^3 = 0$, and R , defined by $y = 0$. Again one can check that B satisfies our technical condition (6). Take $p = 3$: the space of cubics vanishing on B is spanned by $x^2 - y^3$. To construct \mathcal{Z}'_3 we can embed \mathcal{Z} in \mathbf{C}^5 with co-ordinates (x, y, z, u, t) defined by the equations $u = x^3 - y^2, uy = tz$. Now we replace u by ut to get equations $ut = x^3 - y^2, uy = z$ defining $\mathcal{Z}'_3 \subset \mathbf{C}^5$. Then $B'_3 \subset \mathbf{C}^4$ is defined by the equations $x^3 - y^2 = 0, uy = z$. The line $x = y = z = 0$ lies in B'_3 and is contracted under the birational equivalence with B .

We can now raise a question which can be viewed as a local version of Question 1. As discussed briefly in [3], Question 1 is related to the following. Let Λ^* denote the sheaf of functions on \mathcal{X} with arbitrary poles on R . Then the sections of $\mathcal{L}^p \otimes \Lambda^*$, for all $p \geq 0$, define a graded algebra over the ring of holomorphic functions over \mathbf{C} and the issue is whether this is finitely generated. A local version of this is to ask whether the sheaf Λ^* is finitely generated as a sheaf of algebras over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Let $\mathcal{I}_B^{(\mu)}$ denote the sheaf of holomorphic functions on \mathcal{X} which vanish to order μ on B (the “symbolic power” of \mathcal{I}_B). Then we can form

$$\mathcal{I}_B^* = \bigoplus_{\mu} \mathcal{I}_B^{(\mu)}.$$

This is a sheaf of algebras and there is a surjective sheaf homomorphism

$$T : \mathcal{I}_B^* \rightarrow \Lambda^*$$

defined by $T(f) = t^{-\mu}f$ for $f \in \mathcal{I}_B^{(\mu)}$. Now examining the proof of Lemma 4 above we see that the essential thing we need is that Λ^* is finitely generated, as a sheaf of algebras over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$. Since T is surjective this will be true if \mathcal{I}_B^* is finitely generated and in turn this will certainly be true if $\mathcal{I}_B^{(\mu)}$ coincides with the power \mathcal{I}_B^{μ} , which we assumed in our situation.

Examining the proofs further we see that we only need this finite generation property at a special point of B . So in sum we arrive at the following

Question 2 *Let $Z \subset \mathbf{C}^N$ be a smooth affine variety containing 0. Let $g_t : \mathbf{C}^N \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^N$ be a linear \mathbf{C}^* -action such that 0 is a repulsive fixed point. For $t \neq 0$ let $Z_t = g_t(Z)$ and let $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathbf{C}^N \times \mathbf{C}$ be defined as the closure of the family. Suppose that B is a reduced component of $Z_0 = \mathcal{Z} \cap (\mathbf{C}^N \times \{0\})$ and R is the union of all other components. Let Λ_0^* be the germs of meromorphic functions on \mathcal{Z} around $(0, 0) \in \mathbf{C}^N \times \mathbf{C}$ with poles along R . Then is Λ_0^* finitely generated as an algebra over the germs of holomorphic functions?*

If the answer to this question is positive it would be possible to omit our technical hypothesis (5) in proving Theorem 2. On the other hand if the answer is negative it seems there could be an essential difficulty in extending this approach.

4 Blowing up

We follow the approach of Stoppa [6], [7]. We begin by reducing to a simpler situation. Starting with \mathcal{X} and p we define \mathcal{X}'_p and a divisor $R'_p \subset \mathcal{X}'_p$. Now given q we can make the same construction to define a rational map from \mathcal{X}'_p to the product of a projective space with Δ using meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{O}(q)$ over \mathcal{X}'_p with poles along R'_p . Denote the result by $(\mathcal{X}'_p)'_q$. Then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$(\mathcal{X}'_p)'_q = \mathcal{X}'_{pq}.$$

This was stated, with outline proof, in [3]. We can give a proof more in line with our current point of view as follows. A meromorphic section of $\mathcal{O}(q)$ with poles along R'_p can be written as $t^{-\nu}\tau$ where τ is a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{O}(q)$ vanishing to order ν on B'_p . The hypothesis that $H^0(X, L)$ generates $\bigoplus H^0(X, L^k)$ means that we can write $\tau = \overline{P}(\overline{\sigma}_a)$ where \overline{P} is a homogeneous polynomial of degree q with coefficients meromorphic in t . Thus with a slight stretch of notation we can also write $\tau = P(\sigma_a)$ where P is another polynomial with meromorphic coefficients. That is to say we simply substitute $\overline{\sigma}_a = t^{-\mu_a}\sigma_a$. Thus τ can be regarded as a meromorphic section of $\mathcal{O}(pq)$ over \mathcal{X} vanishing to order ν on B . Thus $t^{-\nu}\tau$ is also one of the sections we use in defining \mathcal{X}'_{pq} . Conversely if we start from a section τ of $\mathcal{O}(pq)$ over \mathcal{X} vanishing to order ν on B the fact that the sections of L^p over X generate those of L^{pq} implies that we can write τ as a polynomial in the σ_a with meromorphic coefficients. This gives rise to the equivalence of \mathcal{X}'_{pq} and $(\mathcal{X}'_p)'_q$.

Recall that we can fix p_0 so that for all $p \geq p_0$ the sections $\Sigma_p \subset \mathcal{X}'_p$ have isomorphic neighbourhoods. If we restrict attention to multiples $p = qp_0$ we can think of \mathcal{X}'_p as being obtained from \mathcal{X}'_{p_0} by meromorphic sections of $\mathcal{O}(q)$, as above. Then the section Σ'_{p_0} does not meet R'_{p_0} . With this said, there is no real loss of generality in supposing that in fact $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{X}$ *does not meet* R , i.e. that $\iota(0)$ is in Ω . In this case there are, for all p , neighbourhoods U_p of the Σ_p in \mathcal{X}'_p which are isomorphic to a neighbourhood U of Σ in \mathcal{X} . We will make this assumption from now on to simplify the notation—but it is no restriction because we can always replace \mathcal{X} by \mathcal{X}'_{p_0} in what follows.

We blow up Σ in \mathcal{X} to get a variety $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ which yields another equivariant flat family $\hat{\pi} : \hat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}_Σ corresponds to a line bundle $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{X}}$ and for suitable values of r, γ the line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{r\gamma} \otimes \mathcal{E}^r$ will define a projective embedding of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$. The non-zero fibres of $\hat{\pi}$ are just given by blowing up $g_t(X)$ at the point $\iota(t)$ but, as Stoppa explains, the fibre $\hat{\pi}^{-1}(0)$ is not necessarily the blow up of W at x_0 . In general it may contain another component, or union of components P .

To illustrate this phenomenon, consider the first example in Section 3, so we want to blow-up the quadric cone $\{xy = tz\}$ along the line $x = y = z = 0$. The blow up $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a subvariety of $\mathbf{C}^4 \times \mathbf{P}^2$. Let \hat{Z}_t be the blow-up of $Z_t \subset \mathbf{C}^3$ at the origin $x = y = z = 0$. Then $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}$ is the closure of the union over non-zero t of (t, \hat{Z}_t) . Let (r_1, r_2, r_3) be a vector with $r_1 r_2 \neq 0$. The line in \mathbf{C}^3 generated by this vector meets Z_t at the point

$$\frac{tr_3}{r_1 r_2}(r_1, r_2, r_3)$$

As t tends to zero this point tends to the origin. It follows that $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}$ contains the whole of $(0, \mathbf{P}^2) \subset \mathbf{C}^4 \times \mathbf{P}^2$. Thus P is a copy of \mathbf{CP}^2 .

In any case we write \widehat{W}' for the central fibre of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$.

Now consider \mathcal{X}'_p and the section $\Sigma_p \subset \mathcal{X}'_p$. We can blow up Σ_p to obtain $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_p$. Since blowing up is a local operation there are neighbourhoods $\widehat{U}_p \subset \widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_p$ of the exceptional set which are isomorphic, for all p .

We want to consider projective embeddings of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_p$. Recall that we write $\pi^{(p)} : \mathcal{X}'_p \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$.

Proposition 2 *Suppose p, r are chosen so that the restriction map*

$$\pi_* \mathcal{L}^p \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{L}^p \otimes \mathcal{O}_{r\Sigma})$$

is surjective and the sections of $\mathcal{L}^p \otimes \mathcal{I}^r_\Sigma$ define a projective embedding of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$. Then the restriction map $\pi_^{(p)}(\mathcal{L}^p \otimes \Lambda^{(m(p))}) \rightarrow \pi_*^{(p)}(\mathcal{L}^p \otimes \Lambda^{(m(p))} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{r\Sigma_p})$ is surjective and the sections of $\mathcal{L}^p \otimes \Lambda^{(m(p))} \otimes \mathcal{I}^r_{\Sigma_p}$ define a projective embedding of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_p$.*

(Here of course we write $\mathcal{O}_{r\Sigma} = \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}^r_\Sigma$.)

The surjectivity of the restriction map follows simply from the fact that the sheaf $\Lambda^{(m)}$ contains the holomorphic functions. Now starting with the family $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ we can apply the same construction using the functions with poles along the copy of R in the central fibre of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$. This gives a projective family $(\hat{\mathcal{X}})'_p$ say.

But it is clear that this is canonically isomorphic to $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_p$. In terms of \mathcal{X} , we are in both cases considering the image of the rational map defined by sections of $\mathcal{L}^p \otimes \mathcal{I}^r_\Sigma \otimes \Lambda^{(m)}$.

All this can be summarised by saying that, under the assumption that x_0 is not in R , the two constructions (passing from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{X}'_p and blowing up) do not interact.

5 Chow invariant calculations

Suppose that p, r are chosen as in Proposition 2. We have two projective varieties with \mathbf{C}^* -action: the central fibre W' of \mathcal{X}'_p and the central fibre \widehat{W}' of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_p$. We

want to compare the Chow invariants of the two. The main task is to compare the terms from the traces of the actions. This is essentially contained in Stoppa's work but we take a slightly different approach, which admits extensions to more general (non equivariant) degenerations. Let $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_p$ be the line bundle defined by the blow up and $Y \subset \widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_p$ be the exceptional divisor. For any r, k we have a line bundle $\mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{E}^r$ over $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_p$ and the direct image is an equivariant vector bundle over \mathbf{C} . This is the same as taking the direct image of the sheaf $\pi_*^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\Sigma_p}^r)$, working over \mathcal{X}'_p . We write $\widehat{\text{Tr}}(p, k, r)$ for the trace of the action on the central fibre of this bundle. Similarly we write $\text{Tr}(p, k)$ for the corresponding trace formed from the sections of $\mathcal{O}(k)$ over \mathcal{X}'_p .

Proposition 3 *There is function $F(r)$ such that if $\pi_*^{(p)}(\mathcal{X}'_p; \mathcal{O}(k))$ maps onto $\pi_*^{(p)}(\mathcal{X}'_p; \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{r\Sigma_p})$ then $\widehat{\text{Tr}}(p, k, r) = \text{Tr}(p, k) + F(r)$. Furthermore, for large enough r the function $F(r)$ is a polynomial of degree $n + 1$ in r .*

Suppose in general that E is an \mathbf{C}^* -equivariant vector bundle over \mathbf{C} . The \mathbf{C}^* -action defines a trivialisation of E away from 0 and so the first Chern class of E is defined, relative to this trivialisation. This integer coincides with the trace of the action on the central fibre. From this of view we have to compare the Chern classes of the bundles $\pi_*^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}(k))$ and $\pi_*^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\Sigma_p}^r)$. The surjectivity hypothesis of the proposition means that there is an exact sequence of bundles:

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_*^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\Sigma_p}^r) \rightarrow \pi_*^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}(k)) \rightarrow \pi_*^{(p)}(\mathcal{X}'_p; \mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{r\Sigma_p}) \rightarrow 0,$$

so the difference of the Chern classes is the Chern class of $\pi_*^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{r\Sigma_p})$. The fact that $\lambda_0 = \mu_0 = 0$ means that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is trivialised, as an equivariant bundle, over Σ_p so we can omit the factor $\mathcal{O}(k)$ and we see that $\widehat{\text{Tr}}(p, k, r) - \text{Tr}(p, k) = c_1(\pi_*^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}_{r\Sigma_p}))$ and this is plainly independent of p since the Σ_p have isomorphic neighbourhoods. So we have $\widehat{\text{Tr}}(p, k, r) - \text{Tr}(p, k) = F(r)$.

The fact that F is a polynomial of degree $n + 1$ for large r follows from Riemann-Roch for families. When r is large enough there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_*^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma_p}^{r-1}) \rightarrow \pi_*^{(p)}(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}_{\Sigma_p}^r) \rightarrow \varpi_*(\mathcal{E}|_Y^r) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\varpi : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is the projection map from the exceptional divisor. Thus $F(r) - F(r - 1)$ is the first Chern class of $\varpi_*(\mathcal{E}|_Y^r)$. Use the trivialisation defined by g_t of \mathcal{X} over $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$ to compactify \mathcal{X} to a family over \mathbf{CP}^1 . This defines a compactification of the exceptional divisor which is a compact variety of dimension n . When r is large enough for the higher cohomology to vanish, the first Chern class is given by the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula as a polynomial of degree n in r . Now summing over r we see that F is a polynomial of degree $n + 1$, for large r .

Now we can compare $I(W'_p)$ and $I(\hat{W}'_p)$. Write

$$F(r) = fr^{n+1} + \epsilon_1(r)$$

where $\epsilon_1(r) = O(r^n)$. Fix p, r and consider the projective embedding of $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}'_p$ defined by $\mathcal{O}(k) \otimes \mathcal{I}_{\Sigma_p}^{kr}$. We see that

$$I(\widehat{W}'_p) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-n-1} \widehat{\text{Tr}}(p, k, kr),$$

while

$$I(W'_p) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-n-1} \text{Tr}(p, k),$$

so

$$I(\widehat{W}'_p) - I(W'_p) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-n-1} F(kr) = fr^{n+1}. \quad (3)$$

Now fix p, r such that sections of $\mathcal{O}(p)$ over \mathcal{X} generate $\mathcal{O}_{r\Sigma}$. Write $\text{Tr} = \text{Tr}(p, 1)$ and $\widehat{\text{Tr}} = \widehat{\text{Tr}}((p, 1, r))$. These are the trace terms corresponding to the actions on W'_p and \widehat{W}'_p respectively. Similarly write $\text{Dim}, \widehat{\text{Dim}}$ for the dimensions of the underlying spaces in the projective embeddings of W'_p, \widehat{W}'_p . By considering the restriction to a general fibre we see that $\text{Dim} - \widehat{\text{Dim}}$ is the dimension of the space of polynomials of degree at most r in n variables, i.e.

$$\text{Dim} - \widehat{\text{Dim}} = \frac{(r+1)(r+2) \dots (r+n)}{n!}$$

Thus

$$\widehat{\text{Dim}} = \text{Dim} - (d_0 r^n + d_1 r^{n-1} + \epsilon_2(r))$$

where $d_0, d_1 > 0$ and $\epsilon_2(r) = O(r^{n-2})$.

Let $\text{Vol}, \widehat{\text{Vol}}$ be the degrees (or volumes) of W'_p, \widehat{W}'_p and let $I = I(W'_p), \widehat{I} = I(\widehat{W}'_p)$. Then $\text{Vol}, \widehat{\text{Vol}}$ are given by the degrees of X and of the blow-up of X at a point, so we get $\text{Vol} = Vp^n$ for some $V > 0$ and $\widehat{\text{Vol}} = \text{Vol} - d_0 r^n$. Finally we know that Dim is the dimension of $H^0(X, L^p)$ so

$$\text{Dim} = Vp^n + O(p^{n-1}).$$

Putting everything together gives the following

Proposition 4 *We have*

$$\text{Ch}(W'_p) = \frac{\text{Tr}}{\text{Dim}} - \frac{I}{Vp^n}, \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Ch}(\widehat{W}'_p) = \frac{\text{Tr} - fr^n + \epsilon_1(r)}{\text{Dim} - (d_0 r^n + d_1 r^{n-1} + \epsilon_2(r))} - \frac{I - fr^{n+1}}{Vp^n - d_0 r^n} \quad (5)$$

where $\epsilon_1(r)$ is $O(r)$, $\epsilon_2(r)$ is $O(r^{n-2})$, $\text{Dim} = Vp^n + \eta(p)$ with $\eta(p) = O(p^{n-1})$ and $d_1 > 0$.

Now let $p = r\gamma$ for an integer γ .

Corollary 1 *We can write*

$$\text{Ch}(\widehat{W}'_{r\gamma}) = (1 - d_0 V^{-1} \gamma^{-n}) \text{Ch}(W') + \frac{\text{Tr}}{\text{Dim}} (d_1 r^{-1} \gamma^{-n} + \alpha(r, \gamma)) + \beta(r, \gamma),$$

where $|\alpha(r, \gamma)| \leq c(r^{-2} \gamma^{-n} + r^{-1} \gamma^{-n-1})$ and $|\beta(r, \gamma)| \leq c(r^{-1} \gamma^{1-n} + \gamma^{-n})$.

This just uses the information contained in the preceding Proposition. We first observe that the term ϵ_1 in (5) can be absorbed in β . Similarly, the term ϵ_2 can be absorbed in α , using the fact that $\text{Dim} \sim V(r\gamma)^n$. Next one sees that the two terms involving f in (5) cancel, modulo terms that can be absorbed in β . Thus we are reduced to considering the simpler expression

$$Q = \frac{\text{Tr}}{\text{Dim} - (d_0 r^n + d_1 r^{n-1})} - \frac{I}{V r^n \gamma^n - d_0 r^n}.$$

Write this as

$$Q = \left(\frac{\text{Tr}}{\text{Dim}} - \frac{I}{V r^n \gamma^n} \right) (1 - d_0 V^{-1} \gamma^{-n}) + \frac{\text{Tr}}{\text{Dim}} \left(\frac{1}{1 - (d_0 r^n + d_1 r^{n-1})/\text{Dim}} - \frac{1}{1 - d_0 r^n/(V r^n \gamma^n)} \right).$$

Then some elementary manipulation and estimation gives the result.

We can now prove Theorem 2. Suppose that X is (γ, r) -stable. This means that the Chow invariant of \widehat{W}' is positive so we get

$$\text{Ch}(W') \geq (1 - d_0 \gamma^{-n}) \left(\frac{-\text{Tr}}{\text{Dim}} (d_1 r^{-1} \gamma^{-n} + \alpha) + \beta \right).$$

Now recall that Tr is the trace of the action corresponding to $\mathcal{X}'_{r\gamma}$ and this is *less than* the corresponding trace for the action on $H^0(W, \mathcal{L}|_W^{r\gamma})$ since we modify the action by subtracting the positive terms μ_a . For the fixed variety W we know by the standard asymptotics that the trace is given by a Hilbert polynomial of degree $n + 1$. So we see that

$$\frac{\text{Tr}}{\text{dim}} \leq -w(r\gamma),$$

for some $w > 0$. Choose γ_0, r_0 so that if $\gamma \geq \gamma_0$ and $r \geq r_0$ we have

- $(1 - d_0 V^{-1} \gamma^{-n}) \geq 1/2$
- $\alpha(r, \gamma) \leq \frac{d_1}{2} r^{-1} \gamma^{-n}$
- $\beta(r, \gamma) \leq \frac{w d_1}{8} \gamma^{1-n}$

Then we get

$$\text{Ch}(W') \geq \frac{1}{4} (w d_1 \gamma^{1-n}) - 2\beta \geq \frac{3}{16} w d_1 \gamma^{1-n}.$$

Next recall that we defined $N(A'_{r\gamma})$ to be the difference between the maximum and minimum eigenvalues of the generator $A'_{r\gamma}$ of the action. This is

$$N(A'_{r\gamma}) = \max_a (-\lambda_a + \mu_a)$$

and by Lemma 1 we see that $N(A'_{r\gamma}) \leq (C + M)r\gamma$. So we have

$$\text{Ch}(W'_{r\gamma}) \geq K(r\gamma)^{-1} N(A'_{r\gamma}) \gamma^{1-n},$$

where $K = \frac{3}{16}(C + M)wd_1$. To sum up: suppose (X, L) is bi-asymptotically stable. We first fix a large γ as above and such that (X, L) is (γ, r) -stable for sufficiently large r . Then as p runs over sufficiently large multiples of γ we have obtained the inequality stated in Theorem 1.

References

- [1] Arezzo, C. and Pacard, F. *Blowing up and desingularizing constant scalar curvature Kähler manifolds* Acta Math. 196 179-228 (2006)
- [2] Donaldson, S. *Scalar curvature and projective embeddings, I* Jour. Differential Geometry 59 479-522 (2001)
- [3] Donaldson, S. *Stability, birational transformations and the Kähler-Einstein problem* arxiv 1007.4220
- [4] Huo, H. *Geometric criterion for Gieseker-Mumford stability of polarised manifolds* Jour. Differential Geometry 49 1998 (577-599)
- [5] Phong, D. and Sturm, J. *Stability, energy functionals and Kähler-Einstein metrics* Commun. Analysis and Geom. 11 2003 (565-597)
- [6] Stoppa, J. *Unstable blow-ups* Jour. Algebraic Geom. 19 1-17 (2010)
- [7] Stoppa, J. *K-stability of constant scalar curvature Kähler manifolds* Adv. Math. 221 1397-1408 (2009)
- [8] Zhang, S. *Heights and reductions of semistable varieties* Compositio Math. 104 77-105 (1996)